Human Cognition

SWE 432, Fall 2018

Design and Implementation of Software for the Web



Review: What is a software process?

- A structured set of activities required to develop a software product
 - Specification
 - Design and implementation
 - Validation
 - Evolution (operation and maintenance)
- Goal: Minimize Risk
 - Falling behind schedule
 - Changes to requirements
 - Bugs/unintended effects of changes

Review: Stacked graph

• Supports visual summation of multiple components



Review: Small multiples

- supports separate comparison of data series
- may have better legibility than placing all in single plot



Review: Tufte's principles of graphical excellence

- show the **data**
- induce the viewer to think about the substance rather than the methodology
- avoid distorting what the data have to say
- present **many** numbers in a small space
- make large data sets **coherent**
- encourage the eye to **compare** different pieces of data
- reveal data at several levels of detail, from overview to fine structure
- serve reasonable clear **purpose**: description, exploration, tabulation, decoration

Review: Example



Review: Traditional Electoral Map



Review: Weighted Electoral Map



Today

- How do humans think?
- What makes taking action hard?
- Where do errors come from?

What is this emotion?



System 1 vs System 2

System I

- Automatic (unconscious)
- Effortless
- "Fast" thinking
- Associative
- Heuristic
- Gullible
- Can't be turned off

System 2

- Voluntary (conscious)
- Effortful
- "Slow" thinking
- Planning
- Logical
- Lazy
- Usually only partly on

Examples of System 1

- Detect that one object is more distant than another.
- Orient to the source of a sudden sound.
- Complete the phrase "bread and..."
- Make a "disgust face" when shown a horrible picture.
- Answer to 2 + 2 = ?
- Drive a car on an empty road.
- Understand simple sentences.

Examples of System 2

- When System 1 does not offer an answer (e.g., 17 x 24)
- When an event is detected that violates the model of the world that System 1 maintains (e.g., cat that barks)
- Continuous monitoring of behavior—(keeps you polite when you are angry)
- Normally has the last word

Attentional resources are fixed

• Demo

https://www.youtube.com/watch? v=vJG698U2Mvo#action=share

https://www.youtube.com/watch? v=6JONMYxaZ_s&feature=youtu.be

Attentional resources are fixed

- System 2 activity requires attention
- Attentional resources are fixed
- Pupils dilate as mental effort increase
- If demands exceed max, tasks prioritized.

Examples of attention limitations

- Can walk and talk
- But not walk and compute 23 x 78
- Constructing complex argument better when still

Coexistence of Systems 1 and 2

- System 1 processes normal, everyday, expected activities at low cost.
- System 2 takes over when necessary, at higher cost.
- Law of least effort: pays for System 2 to be lazy.

Memory

Short term memory (STM)

- Primary, active memory used for holding current context for System 2
- Unless actively maintained (or encoded to longterm memory), decays after seconds
- Capacity ~ 4 items
 - (classic estimate of 7 +/- 2 is wrong)

Chunking: What's easiest to remember?

- A lock combination with 8 numbers in order: 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80
- A lock combination with 8 numbers in order: 50, 30, 60, 20, 80, 10, 40, 70
- A string of 10 letter: R, P, L, B, V, Q, M, S, D, G
- A string of 52 letters: I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United State of America.

Chunking

- Items in memory encoded as **chunks**
- A chunk may be anything that has meaning
- # of chunks in STM fixed, but remembering bigger chunks lets you remember more
- Memory retention relative to the concepts you already have

Long term memory (LTM)

- Items in short term memory may be encoded into storage in long term memory
- LTM capacity not limited
- Information must be retrieved from long term memory (i.e., through System 1)
- Many factors influence what is encoded into LTM and how it is encoded

Memory is reconstructive - example

How fast was the car going when it hit the other vehicle?

VS.

- How fast was the the car going when it smashed into the other vehicle?
- 2x more remember seeing broken glass



Bell

Memory is reconstructive

- Not stored files on a disk
- Encoded in brain, may be different every time retrieved
- Remember pieces, reconstruct other details based on expectations on what must have occurred
- Hard to distinguish similar memories

Automaticity

- This effect happens for sequences of actions ("scripts") as well.
 - Example: tying shoelaces
- More repetitions, faster, requires less conscious attention.
- Responsibility shifts from System 2 —> System 1

Habit formation takes time

- How long does it take to form a eating, drinking, or activity habit?
- Mean: 66 days, Min: 18 days, Max: 254 days
- More complex behaviors take longer to become habit



Mental models and taking action

Mental models (a.k.a conceptual models)

- Internal representation in the head of how something works in the real world
- E.g., changing appropriate knob adjusts temperature in freezer or refrigerator



Mental models

- Only single temperature sensor.
- Controls not independent, need to adjust both.
- (also delayed feedback)



Problem solving

- Tower of Hanoi
- Move all the discs from left to right
- No larger disc may be above smaller disc
- "Planning Problem"



Problem solving as planning

- Goal state: state of the world to be achieved
- Operators: ways of changing the current state
- Plan: sequence of actions to take to achieve goal

Problem Solving in Uls

Achieving goals



(c) Requirements-driven (pair E)

aspect of the design.

Figure 5. Each pair focused their efforts on a specific

Reflecting the traffic simulator problem domain, the

user-interface-driven pairs made frequent use of maps (30

± 6% of session time) to brainstorm user interactions and

support discussion of the problem. Maps also served as a

hub: pairs frequently shifted attention to a map before

Lists were the second most common sketch type for

shifting to a sketch of a different sketch type.

- Given this word document
- Make text flow into empty space
 - How?
- User takes action to problem solve

User-interface-driven User-interface-driven pairs (A, C, G, H) generally asked themselves: "How can users use our system to accomplish their goals?", focusing on frontend sketches and discerning how to get input from the user and display a result. These pairs relied heavily on user interface sketches to structure and organize their work (Figure 3, Figure 5a).



Norman's gulfs of execution and evaluation



Norman's 7 stages of action

- 1. Goal (form the goal)
- 2. Plan (the action)
- 3. Specify (action sequence)
- 4. Perform (action sequence)
- 5. Perceive (the state of the world)
- 6. Interpret (the perception)
- Compare (outcome w/ goal)



Designing for action

 Key challenge is designing interactions that help users to accomplish their goals

1. Discoverability

- Make it possible to determine possible actions and current state of device
- Which has more discoverable commands: Eclipse or emacs?

🖉 Fortran - DrainBoundaryMain.f90 - Eclips	e Platform	2
Eile Edit Navigate Se <u>a</u> rch Project Bu	n <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp	
] 🗈 • 📓 🚔 🎰] 🏇 • O • 💁 🖄 • 😂 • 🖆 🖋 🍫 🗢 🗇 -] 🐇 🕸		"-d" "log_errors=0" source)
🏠 Fortran Projects 🛛 Navigator 🖵 E	Makefile PrainBoundaryMain.f90 S Ieft_input.txt	((error_patterns ((error line-start_(or "Parse" "Fatal" "syntax") "_error" (any ":" ",") " "
→ \$ ¥ \$ ▼	 2! Determines the conditions defined by the drain tunnel and ar 	(message) " in " (file-name) " on line " line line-end)) umodes (php-mode phpu-mode usb-mode))
D BOASTII	3! Author: Guanglin Du	Allodes (prip-linde prip+linde web-linde)/
▷ ElementLib	4! 2005/12/09 5PROCRAM DrainPoundan Main	(seta c-besic-offset 2)
	6	(set o c-default-stule "nsr2")
Binaries	7USE TypeDefinition	(add-book 'web-mode-book ()ambda ()
⊳ 🏶 dat	8USE VectorUtil	(ddd hook web mode hook (tamberk-select-checker 'mu-php)
AreaCalculator.f90	⁹ USE PlaneUtil	(flucheck-mode t))
BoundaryHelper.f90	10USE SpacialGeometry	(add-book 'after-init-book #'global-flucbeck-mode)
DrainBoundaryMain.f90	12IMPLICIT NONE	(seta flucheck-phond-rulesets (("codesize" "controversia]" "design" "paming" "unusedcode"))
FileInterface.f90	13	(seta flucheck-phocs-standard "Souiz")
▷ F LineUtil.f90	14 Defines a common FEM element type	· DECEMBER OF CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR CONTRACT
▶ P MyBoundaryMain.f90	15TYPE Element	
PlaneUtil.f90	17 INTEGER : materialID	
right_river_boundary_kushui.f90	18END TYPE Element	
SpacialGeometry.f90	19	
SpacialTest01.f90	20 CHARACTER(LEN = 200) :: comment	
TypeDefinition.f90	21 CHARACTER(LEN = 30) :: 62 221 OGICAL(4) :: vn lves/no	
VectorUtil.f90	23TYPE(Element), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(:) :: elements	
⊳ ‡‰f.out	24 TYPE(Point3D), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(:) :: nodes	
📄 Geometry.bak	25! Drain tunnel axis (Line3D object) 26 TVPE(Line3D) ALLOCATABLE DIMENSION(:) :: drainTunn	
📄 Geometry.dwg	27 TYPE(Quadrilateral), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(;) .: drainfulning	
📄 Geometry.wmf	28	U(Unix) Init.el Bot of 9.3k (324,2) Hg-*** failed to import extension ftp: No module named
🔄 Makefile	29! Temporary varible	druxrwxrwx i Irustedinstaller Irustedinstaller b 2009-07-14 diagnostics
📄 SpacialGeometry.doc	30 TYPE(Point3D) :: p1, M 31 DOLIPI E RECISION :: PM_ramda_tal	druxrwxrwx i irustedinstaller irustedinstaller 24576-2012-01-12 enome
📄 SpacialGeometry.odt		urwixiwixiwa i irustedinstaller frustedinstaller 4090-2010-11-21 en-03
📄 SpacialGeometry.pdf	33! Often used temporary integer variables	- WX WX WX 2 In ustadiostallar in ustadiostallar 201000 201-02-23 explored e eve
📄 SpacialGeometry.sxw	34 INTEGER :: i, j, k, i1, i2, i3, i4	- William 2 in ustadiostallar in ustadiostallar 15806 2009-07-13 bb ava
📄 Thumbs.db	35! Node & element count	$-r_{\rm M}$ with 2 indicating the indicating indicating $-r_{\rm M}$ $-r_{\rm M$
📄 areacalculator.mod	371 Drain tunnel axis & the count of anti-seenage curtain	drugrugrugrug i TrustedInstaller TrustedInstaller 327580 07-30 12-10 inf
📄 lineutil.mod	38INTEGER :: lineCount, curtainCount	-rw-rw-rw- 2 TrustedInstaller TrustedInstaller 43131 2009-07-13 min-bin
📄 planeutil.mod	39	-rw-rw-rw- 1 SYSTEM SYSTEM 1405 2009-06-10 msdfman.ini
📄 spacialgeometry.mod	40 CHARACTER(40) :: inFile	-rwxrwxrwx 4 TrustedInstaller TrustedInstaller 193536 2009-07-13 notenad.exe
📄 typedefinition.mod		-rw-rw- 1 Administrators Domain Users 14 2014-01-15 outlook data.dat
📄 vectorutil.mod	Problems 📮 Console 🕱 Properties	GMILIS drwxrwxrwx 1 Administrators Domain Users 0 2013-09-11 pss
🛛 Þ 🖆 JiYoujun	Fortran-Build [FlowBoundary]	-rwxrwxrwx 2 TrustedInstaller TrustedInstaller 398336 2009-07-13 regedit.exe

Bell

2. Feedback

• There is full and continuous info about the results of actions and the current state





3. Conceptual model

• Design projects all of the information needed to create conceptual model.





4. Affordances

- The proper affordances exist to make the desired actions possible.
- Affordance: an action that can be taken with an artifact to change its state

Browser 🔶	Tabbed browsing	Pop-up blocking [note 1] ◆	Incremental search +	Ad filtering +	Page zooming [note 2] \$	Full text search of history \$	Content-modal dialogs ^[note 3] \$
Amaya	Yes	N/A	No	No	Yes	No	?
AOL Explorer	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	?
Arora	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Avant	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	?
Camino	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	?
Chromium	Yes	?	Yes	?	Yes	?	?
Dillo	Yes	N/A	No	No	No	No	No
Dooble	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	?
ELinks	Yes	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	No ^[note 4]
Flock	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	?
Galeon	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Google Chrome	Yes	Partial [note 5]	Yes	No [note 6]	Yes	Yes	No ^[note 7]
iCab	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	?
Internet Explorer	Yes [note 8]	Yes [note 9]	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes [note 10] [53]	No
Internet Explorer for Mac	No	No	No	No	No	No	N/A
						CALCULATION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER	

5. Signifiers

 Effective use of signifiers to communicate discoverability and feedback







6. Mapping

• The relationship between controls and their actions follows the principles of good mapping





Example - burners



Natural mapping

- Best mapping: controls mounted next to item to be controlled
- Second best mapping controls as close as possible to item to be controlled
- Third best mapping controls arranged in same spatial configuration

Consistent mapping

- Control consistently leads to same action
- Facilitates System 1 taking action always leads to the same effect

Question	
Do you want to Continue ?	Do you really want to continue?
Yes No Cancel	Cancer Tes

7. Constraints

• Provide physical, logical, semantic, cultural constraints to guide actions and ease interpretation

Physical constraints

- Constrain possible operators (e.g., round peg, square whole)
- Rely on properties of artifact, no training required





Lock ins

 Keeps an operation active, preventing someone from prematurely stopping

V	document "vlhcc15 Mode]"?	e the changes ma -tdl-v6 [Compatib	de to the ility
	Your changes will be los	t if you don't save the	em.
		Orecal	Caulo

Lock outs

• Prevents an event from occurring

Disk Cleanup	
Are you sure you these files?	want to permanently delete
	Delete Files Cancel



Cultural, semantic, logical constraints

- Norms, conventions that describe possible actions
- Some constraints come from our basic shared understanding of the world: where does the head go?



Example: faucets

- Control 2 variables: temperature, rate of flow
- Physical model: water enters through 2 pipes
- Solutions:
 - Separate controls for hot and cold
 - Control only temp / control only ant
 - On / off
 - One control

Example: faucets

- Mapping problems:
 - Which controls hot and which cold?
 - How do you change temperature w/ out flow rate?
 - How do you change flow w/out temperature?
 - Which direction increases water flow?

Example: faucets

- Standard conventions: left hot, right cold; counterclockwise turns it on
- But
 - Not in England
 - Not always on shower controls
 - Not always for blade controls

Human Error

What causes disasters?

- Mechanical malfunction?
- Poor design?
- Human error?

Swiss cheese model

 Accidents must penetrate levels of system defenses



Root cause analysis

- Keep asking question to determine causes for actions
- Human error only part of the chain
- Example
 - 2010 F-22 crash that killed pilot
 - Official cause: pilot error pilot failed to take corrective action
 - IG report: pilot was probably unconscious

Case Study No. 1: Three Mile Island

Chain of events and active errors	Contributing conditions and latent failures
Maintenance crew introduces water into the instrument air system.	Although this error had occurred on two previous occasions, the operating company had not taken steps to prevent its recurrence. (Management failure)
Turbine tripped. Feedwater pumps shut down. Emergency feedwater pumps come on automatically, but flow blocked by two closed valves.	The two block valves had been erroneously left in the closed position during maintenance, probably carried out two days prior to the accident sequence. One of the warning lights showing that valves were closed was obscured by a maintenance tag. (Maintenance failures)
Rapid rise in core temperature and pressure, causing the reactor to trip. Relief valve (PORV) opens automatically, but then sticks in the open position. The scene is now set for a loss of coolant accident (LOCA) 13 seconds into the emergency.	During an incident at the Davis – Besse plant (another Babcock & Wilcox PWR) in September 1977, the PORV also stuck open. The incident was investigated by Babcock & Wilcox and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. However, these analyses were not collated, and the information obtained regarding appropriate operator action was not communicated to the industry at large. <i>(Regulatory failure)</i>
Operators fail to recognise that the relief valve is stuck open. Primary cooling system now has hole in it through which radio – active water, under high pressure, pours into the containment area, and thence down into basement.	 Operators were misled by control panel indications. Following an incident 1 year earlier, an indicator light had been installed. But this merely showed whether or not the valve had been commanded shut: it did not directly reveal valve status. (Design and management failures) Operators wrongly assumed that high temperature at the PORV drain pipe was due to a chronically leaking valve. The pipe temp – erature normally registered high. (Management/ procedural failure)
Operators failed to diagnose stuck – open PORV for more than 2 hours. The resulting water loss caused significant damage to the reactor.	1. The control panel was poorly designed with hundreds of alarms that were not organised in a logical fashion. Many key indications were sited on the back wall of the control room. More than 100 alarms were activated with no means of suppressing unimportant ones. Several instruments went off – scale, and the computer printer ran more than 2 hours behind events. (Design and management failures)
	2. Operator training, consisting largely of lectures and work in the reactor simulator, provided an inadequate basis for coping with real emergencies. Little feedback given to students, and training programme was insufficiently evaluated. (Training and management failures)
The crew cut back the high – pressure injection (HPI) of the water into the reactor coolant system, thus reducing the net flow rate from around 1000 gallons/min to about 25 gallons/min. This 'throttling' caused serious core damage.	 Training emphasised the dangers of flooding the core. But this took no account of the possibility of a concurrent LOCA. (Training and management failures) Following the 1977 Davis – Besse incident, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission issued a publication that made no mention of the fact that these operators had interrupted the HPI. The incident appeared under the heading of "valve malfunction" not "operator error". (Regulatory failure)

Reasons's Model of Unsafe Acts



Violation

- Error occurred because user intended the erroneous output
- Routine violation user always intends to do it
 - Noncompliance is so frequent it is ignored
 - E.g., running a red light
- Exceptional only in some cases
- Sabotage intended destruction

Mistakes

- User **formulated** the wrong goal or plan
 - Executing action will not achieve goal
- Rule based: appropriately diagnosed situation, but chosen erroneous course of action
- Knowledge based: does not have correct information

61

Slips

- Attentional failure user intended to do correct action, but did not actually execute action
- Example: forgot to turn off the gas burner on the stove after cooking

Lapse: Strong habit intrusion

- Performance of some well-practiced activity in familiar surroundings
- Intention to depart from custom
- Failure to make an appropriate check
- Example: start trip to frequent destination, forget going somewhere else

Lapse: Omissions

- May be interrupted, forgetting intention to act
- "I picked up my coat to go out when the phone rang. I answered it and then went out of the front door without my coat."